

Secured credit — underappreciated and undervalued?




By Martin Foden Head of Sterling Credit Research

Martin Foden, Head of Sterling Credit Research, explores the inefficiencies, risks, and opportunities that elude many investors in the secured credit market.

Royal London Asset Management's journey through secured credit investment is neatly framed by our evolution. We have been investing in secured corporate bonds for over 30 years across a wide range of assetrich sectors including infrastructure, social housing, investment trusts and commercial real estate — meaning an extremely granular range of issuers.

From this heritage, following the Global Financial Crisis (GFC), we extended our reach into the securitisation market by investing selectively across residential mortgage backed securities (RMBS), commercial mortgage backed securities (CMBS) and other asset backed securities (ABS). In the broadest of terms secured credit represents a welcomingly diverse set of economic exposures with one critical commonality — a charge over assets. (see chart)




Benefits of security, and the research hurdle

Security is a key credit enhancement, providing tangible backing to enhance bond recovery should a company default. However, its true value is more nuanced. By investing in bonds with the right type of security, both in terms of legal enforceability and appropriateness of collateral, dovetailing with protective covenants, such as early triggers that require issuers to supplement collateral pools as values fall, we can inject more dynamic protection into our credit portfolios. In an increasingly uncertain world, in an asset class with asymmetric risk and return profiles, the enhanced visibility and control from secured lending is hugely beneficial.

Equally, buying secured bonds is not a free ride. The analysis cannot be delegated and gaps in third-party ESG data must be plugged. Each bond is unique, whether due to the underlying assets or cashflows or different covenant packages and issuing structures. Accordingly, secured bond documentation is more complex and comprehensive than that for unsecured bonds; this is a good thing. What is more, as security and covenants are permanent over the life of the bond, the extra effort required to fully understand the investment is efficient. As a market leader in this area, with multi-cycle experience, the varying practical and commercial efficacy of different covenants and structures has become more plainly apparent.

An evolving landscape

Whilst the origin of our secured lending was initially in the unrated market, at a time when investors favoured security over less fundamental protections, the clear majority of our secured bonds are now publicly rated and listed. Infrequently we may invest in a new unrated bond, assuming we can extract offsetting benefits, in which case we will apply our own long-established internal rating methodology.



Building on a solid bedrock of investment in secured corporate bonds, over a decade ago we extended our expertise into the securitisation market, which hitherto had been perceived as a 'low risk' bank to bank market. Suddenly, forced selling into an unreceptive market provided a fantastic opportunity to add new exposures to bonds that for all their structural differences - ring-fencing of assets, floating rate coupons — simply represented another method by which corporates could raise secured financing Critically, given some of the latent risks that we perceive in a now largely rehabilitated securitisation market — economic concentrations, over-rating

of subordinated classes and extension risk — we can be highly selective in this area. Adding only the highest quality and highest conviction names and structures, supplementing our wider holdings of secured corporate bonds to enhance overall portfolio fundamentals, diversity, and returns. Contrast this with more recently established ABS funds. Often their narrower investment focus, perceiving securitisation as a separate asset class, means rating and return targets dictate ownership of the majority of deals, funnelled into concentrated consumer sectors with an emphasis on iunior tranches.

represented another method by which targets dictate ownership of the majority corporates could raise secured financing. Critically, given some of the latent risks that we perceive in a now largely junior tranches. rehabilitated securitisation market economic concentrations, over-rating Secured Corporate Bonds & ABS **Undervalued** Security **Diversity of** opportunity Enhanced control Ratings Tenor Enhanced recovery Complexity Cashflows Regulation Idiosyncratic Econominc exposure Source: RLAM, for illustrative purposes only.



An exploitable market inefficiency

For all the fundamental advantages, the ultimate attraction of secured bonds is that these enhancements remain under-appreciated and undervalued in a market that has largely developed as a conduit for unsecured finance. Rating agency methodologies perpetuate this inefficiency by concentrating on probability of default rather than loss given default. Effectively, this means that rating agencies, as the largest

arbiters of credit risk in the economy and embedded in control and regulatory frameworks across the globe, are telling investors that bond recovery following a default is an incidental issue.

No wonder fundamental protections, such as security and covenants, are often overlooked. It is also remarkable that name recognition can count for more than a tangible claim on assets. How else can we explain a market that accepts a lower credit spread for unsecured lending to the UK retailer,

Next plc, than it does for secured lending to Sainsbury's. Whilst the name of the secured bond, Longstone Finance, may not allow the lazy credit analyst to delegate their analysis, we feel the belt and braces protection of Sainsbury's cash flow generation, full amortisation of the bond removing bullet refinancing risk and ring-fenced collateral worth over ten times the value of the money we have lent more than compensates — even before acknowledging that we earn a higher credit spread for the privilege.

Market inefficiencies - Name recognition

NEXT

Next plc £250m 3.5% 2026


Sainsbury's

Longstone Finance £542.5m 4.791% 2030

Refinance	Bullet risk	Fully amortising
Security Asset cover	Unsecured n/a	First fixed charge on property >10x
Benchmark	Yes	Yes
Rating	BBB	AAA

Portfolio characteristics and holdings are subject to change without notice. This does not constitute an investment recommendation. For information purposes only.

Source: RLAM, Bloomberg as at 31 May 2023



Lending with control in an uncertain world

Lending well at the outset is a central tenet of our approach. Additionally, a useful outcome of lending with more preemptive control, as well as dampening the impact of unforeseen risks (e.g., poor governance, latent environmental liabilities), is that borrowers are required to negotiate with us early if performance deteriorates or they require amendments to restrictive bond terms. Therefore, on an ad hoc but not infrequent basis, bonds are redeemed early at prices above market levels to remove these borrowing restrictions. Most typically this is due to the borrower believing they can finance more efficiently in unsecured form or post M&A, where our covenants prevent the new owners of the borrower executing a leveraging strategy, and can provide additional incremental returns to well-constructed portfolios


With money increasingly diverted to investment grade corporate bonds as a standardised building block for portfolios - whether an insurance company requiring narrow matching adjustment eligibility or a central bank only buying bonds with certain superficial characteristics - the opportunity to create better credit portfolios by embracing the idiosyncrasy of bonds will persist. Secured lending has always been at the heart of our differentiated credit approach and the more the wider market commoditises, the more our experienced team are motivated to search out the quirks and the nuances of corporate bonds that can make a fundamental difference to portfolio risk and return.

Past performance is not a guide to future performance. The value of investments and any income from them may go down as well as up and is not guaranteed. Investors may not get back the amount invested. Portfolio characteristics and holdings are subject to change without notice.

Case study

The pre-Covid 19 acquisition of the pub company, Greene King, by the Hong Kong conglomerate, CKI, led to the early redemption of the one remaining bond in the Spirit Issuer plc whole business securitisation (5.472% 2028) in Sept 2022, at a material premium to the market price, as the new sponsor considered the rigidity of the structure to be inefficient given their relative unsecured funding costs.

Our bonds benefitted from a combination of free cash flow debt service cover ratios (DSCR) and limitations on asset withdrawals that countered CKI's financial objectives. Despite low leverage and strong freehold asset backing, the unconventional nature of the structure meant the bonds were rated sub-investment grade, allowing us to earn a significant yield premium verses unsecured yet more conventional consumer bonds. As well as providing bondholders with considerable control and visibility during a period of significant market volatility, an outcome that saw CKI pay a material premium to redeem the bonds contrasts sharply with the typically negative consequences of owning unsecured credit post M&A.



Contact us

For more information about our range of products and services, please contact us.

Royal London
Asset Management
80 Fenchurch Street

London EC3M 4BY

For advisers and wealth managers bdsupport@rlam.co.uk
020 3272 5950

For institutional client queries institutional@rlam.co.uk
020 7506 6500

We can provide this document in Braille, large print and audio.

www.rlam.com

For Professional Clients only, not suitable for Retail Clients.

This is a financial promotion and is not investment advice. The views expressed are those of the authors at the date of publication unless otherwise indicated, which are subject to change.

Telephone calls may be recorded. For further information please see our Privacy policy at www.rlam.com

For more information on the fund or the risks of investing, please refer to the Prospectus or Key Investor Information Document (KIID), available via the relevant Fund Information page on www.rlam.com

Issued in August 2023 by Royal London Asset Management Limited, 80 Fenchurch Street, London, EC3M 4BY. Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority, firm reference number 141665. A subsidiary of The Royal London Mutual Insurance Society Limited.

Ref: AL RLAM PD 0169



