For professional clients only, not suitable for retail clients. This is a financial promotion.

Royal London UK Income with Growth Trust

Quarterly Investment Report

30 September 2026

ROYAL LONDON
ASSET MANAGEMENT

Quarterly Report

The fund as at 30 September 2026

The purpose of this report is to provide an update on the Royal London UK Income with Growth Trust. The report has been produced by Royal London Asset Management. The report starts with a summary dashboard showing key information about the fund. A glossary is located at the end of the report covering the description of some of the more technical terms used within the report. All data is as at the report date unless otherwise stated.

Contents

The fund	3
Performance and activity	4
Fund breakdown	6
ESG	7
Market commentary	12
Further information	13
Disclaimers	14
Performance net and gross	16
Glossary	17

ROYAL LONDON
ASSET MANAGEMENT

The fund

Fund performance objective and benchmark

The Scheme aims to provide an annual income return that is 20% greater than that of the FTSE All-Share Total Return GBP Index, with some capital growth, over rolling 7-year periods, after the deduction of charges. The Scheme prioritises income return over capital growth. The Index has been selected as a target benchmark because it is representative of the type of companies in which the Scheme invests, and it is therefore an appropriate measure for the Scheme's performance. Management of the Scheme is not restricted by this target benchmark and the Scheme does not base its investment process upon the Index. As a result, the Scheme can invest in companies that are not part of the Index.

Fund value

	Total £m
30 September 2026	243.25

Fund analytics

	Fund
Fund launch date	25 September 1989
Fund base currency	GBP
Benchmark	FTSE All-Share Index (Total Return, GBP)
Number of holdings	219

Performance and activity

Performance

	Fund (%)	Benchmark (%)	Relative (%)
Quarter	2.41	2.44	(0.02)
YTD	9.49	9.83	(0.34)
1 Year	16.37	16.84	(0.47)
3 Years (p.a.)	14.46	15.45	(0.98)
5 Years (p.a.)	9.61	10.96	(1.35)
10 Years (p.a.)	7.29	8.12	(0.83)
Since inception (p.a.)	8.96	8.12	0.84

Past performance is not a guarantee or reliable indicator of future returns. The impact of fees or other charges, including tax, where applicable, can be material on the performance of your investment. The impact of fees reduces your investment. Please refer to the Glossary for the basis of calculation and impact of fees. Performance and since inception date based on A Inc GBP. Source: Royal London Asset Management; Gross performance; Since inception date of the share class is 25 September 1989.

Performance commentary

The fund underperformed the benchmark over the quarter. This was primarily driven by asset allocation, as bond prices fell in the quarter, in contrast to equities which rose. As a reminder, the portfolio is compared to an all-equity benchmark. The equity portion of the fund performed better than its benchmark, with holdings in Victrex, Johnson Matthey, Drax and Hikma the key contributors.

Performance and activity

Top 10 holdings


	Weighting (%)
HSBC Holdings Plc	5.87
Shell Plc	5.53
AstraZeneca PLC	3.80
British American Tobacco p.l.c.	2.98
RELX PLC	2.57
BP PLC	2.55
Rio Tinto plc	2.20
Unilever PLC	2.09
GSK plc	1.89
Barclays PLC	1.79
Total	**31.28**

Fund activity

During the quarter the fund exited the holdings in Sainsbury, Currys, WH Smith, DCC, ICG and Bunzl and reduced the positions in Victrex and Croda. A number of new holdings were started, most notably Rightmove, Rentokil and Pollen Street.

Fund breakdown

Sector weights



Fund Engagement

Engagement definition

Engagement is active dialogue with investee companies (or other entities). There are two types: engagement for information, which is dialogue as part of investment research or ongoing monitoring, without specific objectives for change, and engagement for change, which is purposeful dialogue to influence positive change, with defined objectives and demonstrable outcomes.

Engagements

Engagement activity	Fund 3 months	Fund 12 months
Number of entities engaged	13	37
Number of engagements	18	99

This is an estimate. Some engagements at the issuer level may not have been attributed to the specific bond held in the fund, resulting in a lower number of engagement activities.

Total engagements by theme and topic



Climate	**14**
Climate - Transition Risk	13
Climate - Physical Risk	1
Governance	**8**
Corporate Governance	5
Board	1
Remuneration	1
Succession Planning	1
Social & Financial Inclusion	**2**
Just transition	1
Social & Financial inclusion	1

The numbers of engagements and themes/topics discussed may differ where a single engagement covers multiple themes/topics.

Engagement focus

Firm-wide engagement activity is centred around six themes which we have identified in consultation with our clients. These are: climate change; nature and biodiversity; health; governance and corporate culture; social and financial inclusion; innovation, technology and society. Portfolio level engagements are not thematic and are focussed on issues specific to managing the portfolio and meeting the investment objective.

Engagement data represents all engagements undertaken at both firm and portfolio level across Royal London Asset Management, and may not be limited to those undertaken solely for the purpose of managing the fund.

Fund Engagement

Engagement outcomes

BP PLC - Corporate Governance - Succession Planning

Purpose:

We engaged BP PLC, an integrated energy company, through the Investor Forum to escalate previous engagements and ensure the board understood investor perspectives on the search for a new Chair and remained committed to rebuilding shareholder relationships, following a call with the company in Q2.

Outcome:

In Q2, Royal London Asset Management met with BP's Company Secretary and Investor Relations team in the Chair's absence. The meeting provided an opportunity to understand the company's perspective on the resolutions at the upcoming Annual General Meeting (AGM). However, we felt that our concerns were not adequately addressed and subsequently signed a letter from the Investor Forum to BP's Senior Independent Director (SID), setting out investors' collective priorities for the Chair search.
The board appreciated the letter, noting that it closely aligned with its own thinking, and provided some insights on the executive search. The SID welcomed a future group meeting between the incoming Chair and shareholders through the Investor Forum and appreciated continued engagement through that channel.
Following the conclusion of the search, BP announced on 2 September that interim Chair Ian Tyler would be appointed permanent Chair, with SID Amanda Blanc stepping down at the next AGM. We view this as a credible outcome that reflects the board's commitment to a considered and transparent process. We will seek to arrange a meeting with the newly appointed Chair to discuss priorities and continue our dialogue on governance matters.

Barclays PLC - Climate - Transition Risk

Purpose:

We engaged Barclays PLC, a global banking and financial services company, as part of Royal London Asset Management's Net Zero Stewardship Programme to assess how the company's climate frameworks influence client engagement, financing decisions and real-world transition outcomes.

Outcome:

Barclays explained that its Client Transition Framework has expanded fivefold and now covers material clients across both its Investment Bank and UK Corporate Bank. Relationship managers own the assessments and use them in client discussions, portfolio reviews and Client Transition Review Forums, with financing limits or relationship constraints applied where appropriate. Barclays emphasised, however, that supporting clients through their real-world transition remains preferable to exiting relationships. We welcomed the framework's increased coverage and practical integration but encouraged the company to publish anonymised case studies demonstrating how assessments influence credit risk appetite, financing decisions and measurable client outcomes. On accountability following Barclays' departure from the Net Zero Banking Alliance (NZBA), the company stated that its climate commitments remain independently owned and supported by senior governance, including Board-level oversight. We identified greater transparency on policy advocacy and trade association alignment as an area requiring further development, and subsequently shared examples of good practice, including trade association reviews and guidance from InfluenceMap. We also requested further clarity on the link between Barclays' sector decarbonisation targets and the Client Transition Framework. Next steps include following up on small and medium-sized enterprise coverage and monitoring any additional disclosures the company provides.

Fund Engagement

Engagement outcomes

Close Brothers Group PLC - Governance – Board

Purpose:

We engaged Close Brothers Group PLC, a merchant banking group, to address board composition concerns, specifically the approaching nine-year tenure of the company's Chairman and the implications for his independence.

Outcome:

We raised our concern that the Chairman was nearing the nine-year service threshold, at which point, under standard corporate governance guidelines, a director is no longer considered independent. Close Brothers acknowledged this as a serious matter and confirmed that a succession process was underway, though no specific details were available at that stage. Given the significant pressures the company was facing in relation to motor finance mis-selling issues, we recognised that a degree of flexibility in the timeline was appropriate. We indicated that, provided meaningful progress on succession was being made, we would not look to vote against the Chairman's re-election as an interim measure. Subsequently, the company announced that the Senior Independent Director would be appointed as Chair with effect from the Annual General Meeting (AGM) in November 2026, with the Chair of the Remuneration Committee stepping into the Senior Independent Director role. We welcomed these concrete steps as a satisfactory resolution to our concerns. Our next step is to support the relevant appointments at the November 2026 Annual General Meeting.

HSBC Holdings PLC - Climate - Transition Risk

Purpose:

We engaged HSBC Holdings PLC, a global banking and financial services company, as part of Royal London Asset Management's Net Zero Stewardship Programme to understand the rationale behind its revised climate targets, updated fossil-fuel policy, and approach to client transition assessments.

Outcome:

At a meeting in September 2026, HSBC reiterated its commitment to achieving net zero emissions by 2050 and presented the energy transition as a significant commercial opportunity, citing growing demand for renewable energy and improved energy security. The company explained that its revised target range reflects the widening gap between a 1.5 degrees Celsius pathway and the International Energy Agency's announced pledges scenario, while its seven sector-level targets continue to focus on the most emissions-intensive areas of its portfolio. HSBC described how financed emissions are governed through sector-level tolerances, monthly portfolio reviews and formal sustainability execution-risk processes embedded across the business. On fossil fuels, HSBC outlined a consolidated framework designed to improve clarity and consistency, under which prospective and existing clients are now treated alike, with due diligence, activity restrictions and enhanced assessments continuing to apply. Client transition assessments remain central to policy compliance, emissions modelling and credit analysis. We acknowledged the progress made but emphasised the importance of ensuring that target and policy changes remain grounded in climate science and aligned with the company's net-zero commitment. HSBC acknowledged our concerns as a serious matter and committed to continued dialogue as its strategy develops.

Fund Engagement

Engagement outcomes

Tesco PLC - Labour & Human Rights

Purpose:


We engaged Tesco PLC, a major United Kingdom grocery retailer, to better understand the company's position on an ongoing equal pay claim, including how it assesses pay transparency, structural equity between store and distribution roles, and colleague engagement practices.

Outcome:

Tesco explained that distribution roles typically attract higher pay than store roles due to factors including location constraints, less pleasant working conditions, night shifts, and chilled or frozen environments, all of which require the company to compete harder to attract workers. The company acknowledged that estimating the financial impact of the equal pay litigation is difficult, stating that no provision has been made as its probability assessment is viewed as favourable. Tesco described its pay structure as transparent, with employees able to understand their position within pay bands and colleagues in equivalent roles paid consistently. On the gender dimension, the company framed progress partly around improving visibility of and access to distribution and senior roles, rather than filling quotas. Colleague sentiment is monitored through a \"great place to work\" survey, with Tesco moving towards a more continuous, rolling engagement model tied to employees' joining anniversaries. The company described its relationship with the Union of Shop, Distributive and Allied Workers as strong and characterised the union as pragmatic within the retail grocery sector. We noted the seriousness of the issue and will continue to monitor developments as the employment tribunal progresses.

Fund Voting

Voting category breakdown



Notable votes

Workspace Group plc

SHP: Election of Dissident Nominees - Against:
We voted against the dissident nominees proposed by Saba Capital. When accounting for extant market conditions and execution risks connected to both strategies, it appears that the Company's Fix, Accelerate, Scale Strategy offers comparatively better chances of generating long-term returns for shareholders, and the level of change at the Board level advocated by Saba is not warranted at this time.

Market commentary

Market Review

It was another positive quarter for markets as a generally resilient global economy outweighed concerns around geopolitics in the Middle East and the future path of interest rates in a more inflationary environment. Investors welcomed encouraging economic data and strong corporate earnings, albeit this is very much led by the US as significant AI-related capital expenditure filters its way into the real economy as evidenced by an improving labour market. The outlook for the broader European economy is somewhat more uncertain in the face of more acute inflationary pressures as a significant net importer of energy. The UK is no exception, and it is now expected that the Bank of England will raise interest rates before the end of the year.

Despite the headwinds from a sharp rise in bond yields, UK equities delivered solid gains over the quarter and broadly outperformed other developed markets. This is owing to its outsized exposure to energy and commodity-related sectors. UK mid-caps in particular performed strongly, partly reflecting an appetite from investors for more cyclical exposure and the announcement of a government-backed loan programme for first time buyers in the UK housing market. This prompted a sharp rally among UK homebuilders and related suppliers of building materials. A flurry of M&A activity over the quarter also acted as a key catalyst for the UK market, providing something of a tailwind lower down the market cap spectrum where valuations remain relatively attractive.

Outlook

Hopes for a quick resolution in the Middle East have been curtailed, forcing markets to price in tighter energy markets and in turn higher borrowing costs. It is now widely expected that the Bank of England will embark on a rate hiking cycle starting before the end of this year, as implied by recent moves in the bond market. We have previously commented on the challenge of higher interest rates as a policy response to what is an external supply shock and a weak domestic backdrop, but the Bank of England will likely follow other central banks as the UK relies on the 'kindness of strangers' to fund its deficits.

Last quarter, we explored whether a new Burnham administration could present the opportunity for a political reset. While policy details have now emerged, much of this is constrained by the aforementioned dynamics that have tightened the 'fiscal headroom' available for spending commitments. The market is now focused on the upcoming late October 2026 Budget as the government weighs up further tax rises or indeed spending cuts to ensure it stays within its fiscal rules.


We still see UK equities as having different drivers to the rest of the world, with only limited exposure to companies involved in the AI buildout and the market's relative valuation appeal. This is increasingly being crystallised through M&A activity. More positively, in recent months we have seen more UK companies successfully raising new equity to fund their own M&A ambitions. While there have been a number of false dawns in recent years, this could provide an improved environment for active managers that can take advantage of the UK market valuation opportunity.

Further Information

Please click on the links below for further information:







Find out more

In an uncertain geopolitical and economic environment, we recognise the importance of keeping our clients updated on our current investment thinking.

Articles, videos, podcasts and webinars giving the latest views of our investment experts can be found in the Our Views section of www.rlam.com, including regular updates from our Fixed Income, Global Equity, Sustainable and Multi Asset teams.

Disclaimers

Important information

For professional clients only, not suitable for retail clients.

This is a financial promotion and is not investment advice.

Telephone calls and written communications may be recorded and monitored. For further information please see the Privacy Policy at www.rlam.com.

Issued in October 2026 by Royal London Asset Management Limited, 80 Fenchurch Street, London EC3M 4BY. Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority, firm reference number 141665. A subsidiary of The Royal London Mutual Insurance Society Limited.

The Trust is an authorised unit trust scheme. The Manager is RLUM Limited, authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority, with firm reference number 144032.

For more information on the Trust or the risks of investing, please refer to the Prospectus or Key Investor Information Document (KIID), available via the relevant Fund Information page on www.rlam.com.

FTSE indexes and data are an intellectual property of FTSE International Limited ("FTSE"). "FTSE®" is a trade mark of the London Stock Exchange Group companies and is used by FTSE under licence. The Index is calculated by FTSE or its agent.

FTSE makes no claim, prediction, warranty or representation either as to the results to be obtained from the Fund or the suitability of the Index for the purpose to which it is being put by Royal London Asset Management.

Awards and ratings are not a guide to future returns and should not be considered recommendation.

© 2026 Morningstar, Inc. All rights reserved. The information contained herein: (1) is proprietary to Morningstar and/or its content providers; (2) may not be copied or distributed; and (3) is not warranted to be accurate, complete, or timely. Neither Morningstar nor its content providers are responsible for any damages or losses arising from any use of this information. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Data as at 30 September 2026.

Risks and Warnings

Investment risk

The value of investments and any income from them may go down as well as up and is not guaranteed. Investors may not get back the amount invested.

Concentration risk

The price of Funds that invest in a reduced number of holdings, sectors, or geographical areas may be more heavily affected by events that influence the stockmarket and therefore more volatile.

Credit risk

Should the issuer of a fixed income security become unable to make income or capital payments, or their rating is downgraded, the value of that investment will fall. Fixed income securities that have a lower credit rating can pay a higher level of income and have an increased risk of default.

EPM techniques risk

The Fund may engage in EPM techniques including holdings of derivative instruments. Whilst intended to reduce risk, the use of these instruments may expose the Fund to increased price volatility.

Interest rate risk

Fixed interest securities are particularly affected by trends in interest rates and inflation. If interest rates go up, the value of capital may fall, and vice versa. Inflation will also decrease the real value of capital.

Liquidity risk

In difficult market conditions the value of certain fund investments may be difficult to value and harder to sell, or sell at a fair price, resulting in unpredictable falls in the value of your holding.

Counterparty risk

The insolvency of any institutions providing services such as safekeeping of assets or acting as counterparty to derivatives or other instruments, may expose the Fund to financial loss.

Charges from capital risk

Charges are taken from the capital of the Fund. Whilst this increases the yield, it also has the effect of reducing the potential for capital growth.

Performance to 30 September 2026

Cumulative (%)

	3 Month	6 Month	1 Year	3 Years	5 Years
Fund (gross)	2.41	7.99	16.37	50.02	58.24
Fund (net)	2.16	7.45	15.21	45.48	49.71

Annualised (%)

3 Years (p.a.)	5 Years (p.a.)
14.46	9.61
13.30	8.40

Year on year performance (%)

	30/09/2025 - 30/09/2026	30/09/2024 - 30/09/2025	30/09/2023 - 30/09/2024	30/09/2022 - 30/09/2023	30/09/2021 - 30/09/2022
Fund (gross)	16.37	11.17	15.97	11.37	(5.29)
Fund (net)	15.21	10.06	14.73	10.02	(6.47)

Past performance is not a guarantee or reliable indicator of future returns. The impact of fees or other charges, including tax, where applicable, can be material on the performance of your investment. The impact of fees reduces your investment.

Source: RLAM as at 30 September 2026. All figures are mid-price to mid-price for the Royal London UK Income with Growth Trust A Inc GBP share class.

Glossary

7-Year rolling period

Any period of seven years, no matter which day you start on.

Bonds

Bonds are defined as fixed-income investments issued as debt by companies and public bodies to raise finance. Investors in bonds receive a previously agreed, non-variable interest payment until the investment matures. Corporate bonds are those issued by companies to raise finance.

Efficient Portfolio Management (EPM) techniques

The Fund may engage in EPM techniques including holdings of derivative instruments. The use of these instruments may expose the Fund to volatile investment returns and increase the volatility of the net asset value of the Fund. EPM techniques may involve the Fund entering into transactions with counterparties where there may be a risk of counterparty default. The Fund's ability to use EPM strategies may be limited by market conditions, regulatory limits and tax considerations.

Income and capital growth

Income is defined as the payment an investment generates, such as dividends, bond coupons or rental income, and capital growth as the rise in an investment's value over time.

Number of holdings

Total number of unique holdings of the Fund excluding cash, currency and derivatives.

Performance

The Fund price is taken at mid-day using swing prices where applicable, while the index performance is priced at close of business. Significant intra-day market movements at the start or end of the day may therefore distort comparisons.

Pricing

The Fund's price may swing to bid or offer to protect existing investors from the costs associated with buying or selling the fund's underlying assets when other investors are entering or leaving the fund. Performance is based on this pricing.

Sector weights

Breakdown of holdings by FTSE ICB (Industry Classification Benchmark) sector relative to the benchmark index.

Top 10 holdings

Top 10 assets held by market value, excluding derivatives and cash.

Tracking error

Tracking error indicates how closely a fund follows its benchmark index. It is a measure of the risk in the fund that is due to active management decisions made by the fund manager. It is calculated on an ex-post basis (actual basis, post period end).