

For professional clients only, not suitable for retail clients. This is a marketing communication.



Royal London UK Equity Income Fund

Quarterly Investment Report

30 June 2026

Quarterly Report

The fund as at 30 June 2026

The purpose of this report is to provide an update on the Royal London UK Equity Income Fund. The report has been produced by Royal London Asset Management. The report starts with a summary dashboard showing key information about the fund. A glossary is located at the end of the report covering the description of some of the more technical terms used within the report. All data is as at the report date unless otherwise stated.

Contents

The fund	3
Performance and activity	4
Fund breakdown	6
ESG	7
Market commentary	11
Further information	12
Disclaimers	13
Performance net and gross	15
Glossary	16

The fund

Fund performance objective and benchmark

The Fund aims to provide a return greater than that of the FTSE® All-Share Total Return GBP Index (the "Index") over rolling 3-year periods, through a combination of capital growth and income, after the deduction of charges. In addition, the Fund also aims to produce an income greater than the Index's income over rolling 3-year periods. The Index has been selected as a target benchmark because it is representative of the type of companies in which the Fund invests, and it is therefore an appropriate measure for the Fund's performance. The performance comparator for the Fund is the IA UK Equity Income sector (the "IA Sector").

Fund value

	Total £m
30 June 2026	1,145.91

Fund analytics

	Fund
Fund launch date	11 April 1984
Fund base currency	GBP
Benchmark	FTSE All-Share Index (Total Return, GBP)
Number of holdings	51

Performance and activity

Performance

	Fund (%)	Benchmark (%)	Relative (%)
Quarter	5.89	4.69	1.20
YTD	6.35	7.22	(0.87)
1 Year	16.75	21.89	(5.14)
3 Years (p.a.)	15.41	15.24	0.17
5 Years (p.a.)	11.46	10.91	0.55
10 Years (p.a.)	9.19	8.67	0.52
Since inception (p.a.)	10.28	7.81	2.47

Past performance is not a guarantee or reliable indicator of future returns. The impact of fees or other charges, including tax, where applicable, can be material on the performance of your investment. The impact of fees reduces your investment. Please refer to the Glossary for the basis of calculation and impact of fees. Performance and since inception date based on M Inc GBP. Source: Royal London Asset Management; Gross performance; Since inception date of the share class is 5 November 2010.

Performance commentary

The fund outperformed the index during the quarter, but despite that underperformed the peer group median. The major drivers of performance were holdings in stocks that received bid approaches – DCC, Tate & Lyle and Segro, as the seemingly relentless wave of takeover approaches for UK companies continued. The approach for Segro also highlights another current theme in the market, that there is possibly a valuation anomaly in the property sector, with most of the companies in the sector trading at substantial discounts to their net asset values. Indeed, this possibility has led the activist investor Saba to take stakes in a number of smaller property companies. In sympathy with that theme, the holding in Land Securities also performed well. The holding in Informa also performed strongly. The shares in the events organiser had been weak on concerns that the conflict in the Middle East would disrupt their trade shows there, and indeed the company was forced to delay a number of events that had been planned in the region. With signs of de-escalation between the US and Iran there are now hopes that this disruption will abate.

Performance and activity

Top 10 holdings


	Weighting (%)
HSBC Holdings Plc	5.95
Shell Plc	5.90
AstraZeneca PLC	4.32
British American Tobacco p.l.c.	3.48
Unilever PLC	3.27
Aviva plc	3.13
RELX PLC	3.11
Informa Plc	2.87
GSK plc	2.86
Rio Tinto plc	2.81
Total	37.70

Fund activity

The largest trades in the quarter were to sell out of Tate & Lyle and to significantly reduce the position in DCC following the bids for the companies. Proceeds were used to establish two new holdings, in the consumer goods business Reckitt Benckiser and the soft drinks manufacturer AG Barr, who are most well known for being the manufacturer of Irn Bru. We also added to the holding in Drax, after we had reduced at higher share prices. The business, which is most closely associated with biomass generation, continues to diversify its electricity generation capabilities, having bought assets in battery storage as well as more recent additions in wind and solar generation.

Fund breakdown

Sector weights



Fund Engagement

Engagement definition

Engagement is active dialogue with investee companies (or other entities). There are two types: engagement for information, which is dialogue as part of investment research or ongoing monitoring, without specific objectives for change, and engagement for change, which is purposeful dialogue to influence positive change, with defined objectives and demonstrable outcomes.

Engagements

Engagement activity	Fund 3 months	Fund 12 months
Number of entities engaged	8	38
Number of engagements	10	113

This is an estimate. Some engagements at the issuer level may not have been attributed to the specific bond held in the fund, resulting in a lower number of engagement activities.

Total engagements by theme and topic



Climate	6
Climate - Transition Risk	4
Climate - Physical Risk	2
Governance	4
Corporate Governance	4

Engagement focus

Firm-wide engagement activity is centred around six themes which we have identified in consultation with our clients. These are: climate change; nature and biodiversity; health; governance and corporate culture; social and financial inclusion; innovation, technology and society. Portfolio level engagements are not thematic and are focussed on issues specific to managing the portfolio and meeting the investment objective.

Engagement data represents all engagements undertaken at both firm and portfolio level across Royal London Asset Management, and may not be limited to those undertaken solely for the purpose of managing the fund.

The numbers of engagements and themes/topics discussed may differ where a single engagement covers multiple themes/topics.

Fund Engagement

Engagement outcomes

NatWest Group PLC - Climate - Transition Risk

Purpose:


We engaged NatWest Group PLC, a retail and commercial banking group, as part of Royal London Asset Management's Net Zero Stewardship Programme to clarify its climate strategy, fossil fuel policy changes, and revised financed-emissions targets following the publication of its latest climate disclosures.

Outcome:

At a pre-AGM meeting with the Chairman and a follow-up call with Investor Relations, NatWest explained its recent revisions to its financing policies and climate targets as pragmatic and risk-based, rather than a weakening of ambition and reaffirmed its commitment to halving financed emissions by 2030. It explained that a shift from sector-level targets to activity-based targets was intended to improve materiality and influence, though we noted this has reduced overall balance-sheet coverage and left some sectors outside scope. NatWest confirmed that capital limits for oil and gas remain unchanged, with exposure below one percent of total lending, and stated there is no appetite to finance fracking despite changes in how this is described in its reporting. The removal of blanket client transition assessment requirements was framed as enabling more nuanced, case-by-case decision-making and the bank highlighted strengthened board-level oversight and internal climate governance frameworks. We noted that further transparency is needed on target coverage, guardrails as applied in practice, and portfolio limits. We agreed to re-share our expectations on responsible lobbying, and NatWest committed to providing further information on its governance around cybersecurity and the use of artificial intelligence.

Fund Voting

Voting category breakdown



Notable votes

BP plc

Elect Albert Manifold - against:

We welcomed the opportunity to engage with the company, but we remain concerned by a series of steps which in our view serve to reduce shareholders' voices and undermine confidence in the company's approach to climate-related disclosure.

Adoption of new articles - against:

While intended to modernise shareholder engagement, the proposal permits virtual-only AGMs without sufficient safeguards in our view, raising concerns about the preservation of effective and equitable shareholder access.

Revocation of Resolution 25 from the 2015 AGM and Resolution 22 from the 2019 AGM - against:

We voted against as the proposed withdrawal of long-standing, shareholder-approved climate disclosure commitments is insufficiently justified in our view and risks setting an unwelcome precedent for the rollback of valued transparency.

Shareholder Proposal Regarding Disclosure of CapEx Approach for Oil and Gas Projects - for:

We voted for as this proposal requests additional disclosure which is additive to our efforts to assess how the company's investment decision-making promotes disciplined capital allocation.

HSBC Holdings plc

To re-elect Geraldine Buckingham as a Director - against:

Fund Voting

Notable votes

HSBC Holdings plc

We voted against this nominee who serves as Chair of the Sustainability Working Group. We have some concerns that the company's updated Net Zero Transition Plan seems to weaken prior climate ambition through diluted coal, oil and gas policies and less robust financed-emissions targets, resulting in the bank no longer aligning with a net zero pathway and lagging key UK and European peers. We would welcome an engagement opportunity to discuss.

To re-elect James Forese as a Director - against:

We voted against this nominee who serves as Chair of the Group Risk Committee. We have some concerns that the company's updated Net Zero Transition Plan seems to weaken prior climate ambition through diluted coal, oil and gas policies and less robust financed-emissions targets, resulting in the bank no longer aligning with a net zero pathway and lagging key UK and European peers. We would welcome an engagement opportunity to discuss.

Market commentary

Market Review

Contrarian investors will be familiar with the saying ‘buy on the sound of cannons, sell on the sound of trumpets.’ Investors that followed this old adage will have been handsomely rewarded in the second quarter of the year as the end of March proved to be the bottom for markets. Equities rebounded sharply as peace talks between the US and Iran were formally launched and fears of a prolonged and damaging closure of the Strait of Hormuz dissipated. Oil prices retreated from the war-induced peak of \$120 per barrel, in the process cooling inflation expectations and the anticipated path of central bank rate hikes. This fuelled further momentum into the AI trade supported by the data centre capex cycle and the high-profile SpaceX initial public offering.

UK equity performance was somewhat tempered by the declines in the energy sector, unsurprisingly given the near 40% collapse in the oil price over the period. Underneath the surface however there was a very significant relief rally in more cyclical areas of the market, with notable outperformance from more domestically oriented, consumer facing companies. The real estate sector also saw a sharp recovery, not only helped by lower UK borrowing costs but also the £12.6bn takeover bid for Segro from US logistics giant Prologis. While the bid has been unanimously rejected, this served as something of a ‘crystallisation’ event in the market highlighting the value of UK-listed real estate companies trading at substantial discounts to their net asset values.

Outlook

Investors are now looking through the geopolitical fog, with the 60-day ceasefire announced in June signalling to markets that the war is now hopefully in its ‘end game.’ Despite being labelled by the IEA as the “biggest ever disruption” to global oil supplies, this has somewhat remarkably been absorbed by the market’s global inventory buffers and emergency releases of strategic reserves. That said, investors should remain vigilant to potential upside risks to energy prices in light of historically low inventories, global stockpile replenishment to come (notably from the US and China) and uncertainties around the extent to which the Strait of Hormuz will reopen. For now, the global growth outlook is improved, and we expect secular growth drivers such as electrification, security of supply, deglobalisation and increased defence spending to be reinforced by the war.

Last quarter we spoke of an increasingly gloomy domestic backdrop with households and businesses facing renewed inflationary pressures and market expectations for multiple rate hikes, despite higher interest rates unlikely to be an efficient policy response to an external supply shock and a weakening domestic backdrop. While markets are still pricing in one interest rate hike in 2027 rather than cuts, expectations have now come back meaningfully even in light of renewed UK political uncertainty. The resignation of Prime Minister Starmer paves the way for Andy Burnham as ‘PM-in-waiting’ and presents the opportunity for a reset in the coming months. The absence of a drawn-out leadership contest is to be welcomed, although markets will reserve judgment until the emergence of policy details still to come.

We see UK equities as being driven by different drivers to the rest of the world, with only limited exposure to the AI buildout, the market’s attractive valuations, and the potential for an improved domestic backdrop and the removal of political uncertainty currently priced into markets. The valuation disconnect of the market is increasingly validated by international strategic buyers and private equity, which is now reaching the upper end of the market with five FTSE 100 companies attracting bids this year. There have been a number of false dawns in recent years, but we believe that the combination of improving domestic fundamentals and value being crystallised through M&A could provide an improved environment for active managers that can take advantage of this valuation opportunity.

Further Information

Please click on the links below for further information:



Find out more

In an uncertain geopolitical and economic environment, we recognise the importance of keeping our clients updated on our current investment thinking.

Articles, videos, podcasts and webinars giving the latest views of our investment experts can be found in the Our Views section of www.rlam.com, including regular updates from our Fixed Income, Global Equity, Sustainable and Multi Asset teams.

Disclaimers

Important information

For professional clients only, not suitable for retail clients.

This is a financial promotion and is not investment advice.

Telephone calls and written communications may be recorded and monitored. For further information please see the Privacy Policy at www.rlam.com.

Issued in July 2026 by Royal London Asset Management Limited, 80 Fenchurch Street, London EC3M 4BY. Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority, firm reference number 141665. A subsidiary of The Royal London Mutual Insurance Society Limited.

The Fund is a sub-fund of Royal London Equity Funds ICVC, an open-ended investment company with variable capital with segregated liability between sub-funds, incorporated in England and Wales under registered number IC000807.

The Authorised Corporate Director (ACD) is Royal London Unit Trust Managers Limited, authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority, with firm reference number 144037.

For more information on the fund or the risks of investing, please refer to the Prospectus or Key Investor Information Document (KIID), available via the relevant Fund Information page on www.rlam.com.

FTSE indexes and data are an intellectual property of FTSE International Limited ("FTSE"). "FTSE®" is a trade mark of the London Stock Exchange Group companies and is used by FTSE under licence. The Index is calculated by FTSE or its agent.

FTSE makes no claim, prediction, warranty or representation either as to the results to be obtained from the Fund or the suitability of the Index for the purpose to which it is being put by Royal London Asset Management.

Risks and Warnings

Investment risk

The value of investments and any income from them may go down as well as up and is not guaranteed. Investors may not get back the amount invested.

EPM techniques risk

The Fund may engage in EPM techniques including holdings of derivative instruments. Whilst intended to reduce risk, the use of these instruments may expose the Fund to increased price volatility.

Counterparty risk

The insolvency of any institutions providing services such as safekeeping of assets or acting as counterparty to derivatives or other instruments, may expose the Fund to financial loss.

Charges from capital risk

Charges are taken from the capital of the Fund. Whilst this increases the yield, it also has the effect of reducing the potential for capital growth.

Performance to 30 June 2026

Cumulative (%)

	3 Month	6 Month	1 Year	3 Years	5 Years	3 Years (p.a.)	5 Years (p.a.)
Fund (gross)	5.89	6.35	16.75	53.76	72.07	15.41	11.46
Fund (net)	5.70	5.97	15.92	50.49	66.01	14.58	10.66

Annualised (%)

Year on year performance (%)

	30/06/2025 - 30/06/2026	30/06/2024 - 30/06/2025	30/06/2023 - 30/06/2024	30/06/2022 - 30/06/2023	30/06/2021 - 30/06/2022
Fund (gross)	16.75	10.58	19.10	10.10	1.64
Fund (net)	15.92	9.79	18.25	9.32	0.91

Past performance is not a guarantee or reliable indicator of future returns. The impact of fees or other charges, including tax, where applicable, can be material on the performance of your investment. The impact of fees reduces your investment.

Source: RLAM as at 30 June 2026. All figures are mid-price to mid-price for the Royal London UK Equity Income Fund M Inc GBP share class.

Glossary

Efficient Portfolio Management (EPM) techniques

The Fund may engage in EPM techniques including holdings of derivative instruments. The use of these instruments may expose the Fund to volatile investment returns and increase the volatility of the net asset value of the Fund. EPM techniques may involve the Fund entering into transactions with counterparties where there may be a risk of counterparty default. The Fund's ability to use EPM strategies may be limited by market conditions, regulatory limits and tax considerations.

Number of holdings

Total number of unique holdings of the Fund excluding cash, currency and derivatives.

Performance

The Fund price is taken at mid-day using swing prices where applicable, while the index performance is priced at close of business. Significant intra-day market movements at the start or end of the day may therefore distort comparisons.

Pricing

The Fund's price may swing to bid or offer to protect existing investors from the costs associated with buying or selling the fund's underlying assets when other investors are entering or leaving the fund. Performance is based on this pricing.

Rolling 3-year period

A rolling 3-year period is any period of three years, no matter which day you start on.

Sector weights

Breakdown of holdings by FTSE ICB (Industry Classification Benchmark) sector relative to the benchmark index.

Top 10 holdings

Top 10 assets held by market value, excluding derivatives and cash.

Total return

A total return is a combination of capital growth and income. Capital growth is defined as the rise in an investment's value over time and income as the payment an investment generates, such as dividends or bond coupons.

Tracking error

Tracking error indicates how closely a fund follows its benchmark index. It is a measure of the risk in the fund that is due to active management decisions made by the fund manager. It is calculated on an ex-post basis (actual basis, post period end).