For professional clients only, not suitable for retail clients.

RLPPC Enhanced Buy and Maintain Credit Fund

Quarterly Investment Report

30 June 2025

ROYAL LONDON ASSET MANAGEMENT

Quarterly Report

The fund as at 30 June 2025

The purpose of this report is to provide an update on the RLPPC Enhanced Buy and Maintain Credit Fund. The report has been produced by Royal London Asset Management. The report starts with a summary dashboard showing key information about the fund. A glossary is located at the end of the report covering the description of some of the more technical terms used within the report. All data is as at the report date unless otherwise stated.

Contents

The fund	3
Performance and activity	4
Fund breakdown	6
Credit ratings	7
ESG	8
Market commentary	10
Further information	12
Disclaimers	13
Performance net and gross	15
Glossary	16

The fund

Fund performance objective and benchmark

The Fund will aim to provide excess income (relative to equivalent UK Government Bonds) over the life of the Fund. It will target a spread at inception, relative to gilts, of +1.3%-1.6% (with allowance for +/- 0.1% tolerance at inception). This spread target may move over time, reflecting any change in market conditions.

Reference index: There is no benchmark for this fund. The iBoxx Sterling Non-Gilt All Maturities index has been used in this report for reference purposes only.

Fund value

	Total £m
30 June 2025	132.31

Asset allocation

	Fund (%)	Benchmark (%)
Conventional credit bonds	99.38	99.40
Conventional gilts	0.62	-
Conventional foreign sovereigns	-	0.60

Fund analytics

	Fund	Benchmark
Fund launch date	16 January 2017	
Fund base currency	GBP	
Duration (years)	6.08	5.26
Gross redemption yield (%)	6.11	5.05
Credit spread (%)	1.79	0.89
Number of holdings	232	1,229
Number of issuers	171	484

Performance and activity

Performance

	Fund (%)	Reference index (%)	Relative (%)
Quarter	2.60	2.78	(0.18)
YTD	3.85	3.50	0.36
1 Year	6.15	5.33	0.82
3 Years (p.a.)	3.11	2.46	0.66
5 Years (p.a.)	(0.35)	(1.00)	0.65
Since inception (p.a.)	1.89	1.22	0.67

Past performance is not a guide to future performance. Please refer to the Glossary for the basis of calculation and impact of fees. Performance and since inception date based on I Inc GBP. Source: Royal London Asset Management; Gross performance; Since inception date of the share class is 16 January 2017.

Attribution over the quarter



Performance commentary

The second quarter saw positive returns from sterling credit investment grade markets (Iboxx). Against this backdrop, the portfolio saw a positive overall return. Looking at performance in the context of wider sterling credit markets, using the iBoxx Sterling Non-Gilt index as a reference, the portfolio underperformed. The portfolio has a duration position that is longer than the wider market as part of its objective, and this was a modest positive for returns relative to the market. Sector selection was the largest positive for the portfolio. Our bias towards insurance bonds was helpful as the sector performed strongly, as was our longstanding underweight in supranational bonds. The lower perceived risk of the supranational sector contributed to it lagging the wider market.

Stock selection effects were negative and the largest effect on the portfolio over the quarter. We saw positive effects from holdings in the insurance sector, with exposure to long-dated bonds from Legal & General, Prudential and Aviva supporting returns. However, these positive impacts were offset by the negative impact of holdings in the structured sector. Many bonds in this area saw positive returns, but in a market of falling yields and tighter spreads, these often lag the broad market. In addition, we saw a negative impact from Thames Water – the bonds falling after US private equity firm KKR pulled out of a potential acquisition of the utility on 3 June. Our holding in global transport operator Mobico was also a drag on performance. Here the bonds traded weaker on the lower-than-expected proceeds from sale of its US school bus business and subsequent downgrades from Moody’s towards the end of the quarter. We continue to see these latter two names as reasonable risk reward opportunities within the context of our diversified portfolios, and continue to closely monitor the issuers.

Performance and activity

Top 10 holdings

	Weighting (%)
HSBC BANK PLC 5.375 04 Nov 2030	1.75
AVIVA PLC 6.875 20 May 2058	1.48
ELECTRICITE DE FRANCE SA 6 23 Jan 2114	1.42
HSBC BANK FUNDING STERLING LP 5.844 31 Dec 2079	1.24
CLOSE BROTHERS FINANCE PLC 1.625 03 Dec 2030	1.22
ENEL FINANCE INTERNATIONAL SA 5.75 14 Sep 2040	1.13
BRITISH LAND CO PLC 5.264 24 Sep 2035	1.09
SOCIETY OF LLOYDS 4.875 07 Feb 2047	1.06
TRAFFORD CENTRE FINANCE LTD A3 4.2172 28 Jul 2038	1.01
MEADF_06 4.986 12 Jan 2032	1.00
Total	**12.40**

Fund activity

New issue activity was lower than usual during the quarter. This was primarily due to companies being reluctant to come to market during a period of higher volatility. This reluctance was exacerbated by overall yields that are higher than they were a year or so ago, and the expectation that rates are coming down further over the remainder of this year. In addition, many companies were active in locking in funding when rates were more favourable. As a result, this gives them more flexibility as to when they come to market. However, secondary market liquidity was plentiful, and volatility meant that we could find attractive opportunities to add to portfolios.

In utilities, we added the UK's first 'blue bond' issue from Bazalgette – a familiar name in our portfolios that is funding the Thames Tideway project. Blue bonds are a type of labelled bonds – tagged Blue to denote that these should benefit the seas or marine environments. Despite their environmental credentials, the attraction of these bonds lies in the underlying credit fundamentals and security backing the loan rather than simply the label attached to the bonds.

The portfolio remains underweight in supranational bonds, based on our view that although the risk-adjusted spread on these bonds is unattractive compared to other parts of the market. However, we will invest where we feel that this risk-adjusted spread is attractive. During the quarter we added PRS Finance, who finance the Housing Guarantee Scheme for the private rented sector, at an attractive credit spread for government guaranteed debt.

While the portfolio has a bias to bonds in areas such as financials, structured and social housing, we continue to look for ways to add to overall diversification. During the quarter we added Transurban, senior secured bonds from the toll road operator with a focus on Australia, but also operating in the US and Canada. We also added a new issue from Vodafone. These bonds came at an attractive level as the mobile phone operator used proceeds to fund a tender at a premium to market prices. Finally, we added a secured new issue from ABP, or Associated British Ports, one of the UK's largest ports operators, whose assets include major trading ports such as Southampton, Humber and South Wales.

Fund breakdown

Maturity profile



Sector breakdown



Credit ratings

Credit ratings



Downgrades

The table below details directly held credit bonds downgraded from investment grade to sub-investment grade based on the RLAM composite rating during the quarter.

Asset description	Current Rating	Previous Rating
No downgrades this quarter		

Upgrades

The table below details directly held credit bonds upgraded from investment grade to sub-investment grade based on the RLAM composite rating during the quarter.

Asset description	Current Rating	Previous Rating
No upgrades this quarter		

Fund Engagement

Engagement definition

Engagement is active dialogue with investee companies (or other entities). There are two types: engagement for information, which is dialogue as part of investment research or ongoing monitoring, without specific objectives for change, and engagement for change, which is purposeful dialogue to influence positive change, with defined objectives and demonstrable outcomes.

Engagements

Engagement activity	Fund 3 months	Fund 12 months
Number of entities engaged	8	27
Number of engagements	10	65

This is an estimate. Some engagements at the issuer level may not have been attributed to the specific bond held in the fund, resulting in a lower number of engagement activities.

Total engagements by theme and topic

Climate	7
Climate - Transition Risk	7
Environment	1
Governance	4
Corporate Governance	3
Remuneration	1
Social & Financial Inclusion	2
Just transition	2

The numbers of engagements and themes/topics discussed may differ where a single engagement covers multiple themes/topics.

Engagement focus

Firm-wide engagement activity is centred around six themes which we have identified in consultation with our clients. These are: climate change; nature and biodiversity; health; governance and corporate culture; social and financial inclusion; innovation, technology and society. Portfolio level engagements are not thematic and are focussed on issues specific to managing the portfolio and meeting the investment objective.

Engagement data represents all engagements undertaken at both firm and portfolio level across Royal London Asset Management, and may not be limited to those undertaken solely for the purpose of managing the fund.

Fund Engagement

Engagement outcomes

E.ON SE - Net zero

Purpose:

As co-lead of the Climate Action 100+ investor group, the engagement focused on reviewing recent developments in the sustainability strategy of E.ON, a leading European utility company. The discussion focused on climate transition planning, emissions targets, and regulatory challenges.

Outcome:

E.ON is making steady progress on its climate transition, with a product mix that supports decarbonisation and a sharpened 2030 Scope 1 & 2 emissions target of 50% reduction. Scope 3 emissions fell 8% year-on-year, aided by a warmer winter and customer shifts to heat pumps. However, emissions rose in Scope 1 & 2 due to regulatory-mandated replacement of grid losses with grey electricity in Germany. The company is not expanding gas infrastructure and considers emissions in merger and acquisition decisions, though its approach lacks the clarity and ambition of leading peers. Hydrogen trials remain small-scale, with the company sharing that large-scale residential uptake is less likely than previously thought of. E.ON will provide further responses in a follow-up meeting or in writing. We will continue to monitor the company's progress against the CA100+ benchmark and support improvements where possible.

Natwest Group Plc - Net zero

Purpose:

We met with NatWest's Climate team and Investor Relations to discuss the bank's latest climate-related disclosures and transition strategy. The engagement aimed to understand how NatWest, a UK based banking group, is implementing its sustainability ambitions and responding to external policy and sector-specific challenges.

Outcome:

The meeting clarified NatWest's current climate strategy and highlighted areas of progress and ongoing challenge. The bank reaffirmed its commitment to aligning sustainability with customer needs and embedding it into day-to-day decision-making. It plans to review its climate ambition and sectoral financed emissions targets in 2025, using the UK's 7th Carbon Budget as a reference point. While NatWest has taken steps to support residential decarbonisation-such as green mortgages, EPC targets, and its Home Energy Hub-it acknowledged barriers like skills shortages and low retrofit uptake. The bank is also reviewing its oil and gas risk acceptance criteria and welcomed feedback on the sector. We will continue to monitor NatWest's disclosures and progress.

Market commentary

Market overview

The second quarter of 2025 continued the extraordinary series of events that impacted the first quarter. The quarter began with geopolitical news and US policy contributing to a huge spike in volatility across many markets. Somewhat surprisingly, over the quarter the major equity and credit markets shook off this weak start to grind into positive territory for the period as a whole.

The quarter started with 'Liberation day', when the US announced a broad range of materially higher tariffs that it would be imposing on almost all countries it traded with. However, these were rapidly postponed for 90 days, partly due to the adverse global reaction to the announcement. The rest of the period saw further updates on tariffs, including the emergence of new bilateral trade agreements with the US, but the confirmation of a new regime of US trade tariffs generally had a more muted impact than the initial shock. Geopolitical factors also added to uncertainty, as Israel and the US launched air strikes on Iran leading to concerns of renewed regional warfare in the Middle East and entry of the US into another 'forever war'.

Macro uncertainty about tariffs and the progress of President Trump's 'Big beautiful bill', whether these would be implemented, and if so, what impact these would have on global growth and inflation created a difficult backdrop for monetary policymakers in central banks. Faced with the large number of policy unknowns the Federal Reserve therefore left its main policy rate unchanged, while the European Central Bank remained on its modest rate cutting cycle, trimming rates at its April and June meetings. The Bank of England cut rates at its May meeting, leaving these unchanged in June.

The tariff announcements and resulting policy uncertainty saw equity market volatility leap to levels matching those seen during the Covid-19 sell-off. As a consequence, the S&P 500 index recorded its fifth-worst 2-day decline since World War II and even moved into bear market territory over the month (down 20% from its highs). However, since mid-April, stocks have been climbing the wall of worry and rising steadily higher, supported by a 90-day pause to reciprocal tariffs and a US-China trade deal that eased fears of a trade embargo between the world's two largest economies.

Government bond markets were not immune to the heightened volatility. A sign of the extraordinary nature of events was the rise in US treasury yields, and the value of the US dollar falling as uncertainty mounted. For many, this combination of rising yields and falling currency is normally reserved for emerging markets, not for the world's largest economy. As the US President stepped back from some of the more extreme policies and tariffs, government bond yields edged lower. In a quarter of remarkable turmoil, the US, 10-year treasury yields ended almost unchanged, rising just 1bp from 4.21% and ending at 4.22%. Having jumped in the first quarter on the back of the extraordinary easing in German fiscal policy, 10-year bunds yields fell over the period from 2.70% to 2.60%. Benchmark 10-year gilt yields fell from 4.68% to 4.48%, having bounced within the year-to-date range of c4.5-4.8%.

Contrary to the expectations of many when set against the events of the quarter, the sterling investment grade credit market (iBoxx non-gilt index) returned 2.78%, with the average sterling investment grade credit spread (the average extra yield available from non-gilt bonds compared with government debt of equal maturity) tightening over the period. The narrowing of spreads from 0.95% to 0.87% (iBoxx) more or less reversed the widening seen in the first quarter. This has brought spreads back towards the tightest levels since the GFC, as demand continues to be underpinned by the attractive all-in yield and the absence of defaults. Most sectors saw positive returns, with stronger returns from utilities and insurance. As spreads declined, supranationals lagged the returns of other sectors.

Outlook

It is notable that after three months that created a lot of news headlines and uncertainty, markets largely appeared to shrug off 'noise'. Despite considerable uncertainty in the outlook, markets have returned to become driven more by current fundamentals. On an underlying basis, our credit analysis and company meetings have generally remained cautiously positive: companies are not experiencing the same volatility in their earnings that market moves would imply, and as yet, few are guiding to a major impact from tariffs. In the short term, further announcements on tariffs and US fiscal policy will undoubtedly have an impact on markets, but we believe that markets are becoming less easily spooked after a roller coaster first few months of the new administration.

In recent quarters we have reminded investors in our sterling credit strategies of the attractive all-in yields available in the asset class. We believe that will remain the case. While short-dated yields could easily fall due to lower interest rates and speculation about whether President Trump will announce a 'White House friendly' chair of the Federal Reserve, factors such as the 'Big Beautiful Bill' and increased EU defence spending will weigh on US and EU government bonds medium and longer-dated yields. In the UK, ongoing concerns over the fiscal position and the government's inability to rein in spending, as well as a potential slowing in quantitative tightening could impact gilts. As mentioned above, credit spreads have tightened and while further moves are possible, the capacity for significant moves lower in sterling yields are likely constrained simply due to the recent moves.

Market commentary

While near term absolute performance is likely to be largely influenced by movements in the yields of underlying government bond markets, we would expect relative performance to continue to be meaningfully influenced by relative exposure to supranational bonds and to more economically sensitive or cyclical industrial and consumer sectors. However, we believe that continued emphasis on diversification and our bias towards secured and collateralised debt to help mitigate default risk, as well as our focus on income, will continue to support returns as has been the case through the present environment of volatile yields.

We remain confident that we can further achieve an attractive credit spread premium in our buy & maintain portfolios, as we look to exploit market inefficiencies, with long-standing belief such as the undervaluing of security now augmented by newer inefficiencies such as the 'mechanised' approaches used by annuity providers, Exchange Traded Funds and passive funds that treat credit as a homogenous commodity area, buying in a relatively price insensitive manner. Experience suggests that the more credit is treated as a commoditised asset class, the greater the level of inefficiencies that an active manager can exploit.

For a wider view on prospects for the rest of 2025, and how Royal London Asset Management approaches volatile markets, read the thoughts of Piers Hillier, Chief Investment Officer, Mike Fox, Head of Equities, Trevor Greetham, Head of Multi Asset, and Will Nicoll, Head of Fixed Income in our mid-year outlook at www.rlam.com.

Further Information

Please click on the links below for further information:

- Stewardship and Responsible Investment at RLAM
- Royal London Sterling Credit ESG analysis
- TCFD climate report

Find out more

In an uncertain geopolitical and economic environment, we recognise the importance of keeping our clients updated on our current investment thinking.

Articles, videos, podcasts and webinars giving the latest views of our investment experts can be found in the Our Views section of www.rlam.com, including regular updates from our Fixed Income, Global Equity, Sustainable and Multi Asset teams.

Disclaimers

Important information

For professional clients only, not suitable for retail clients.

This is a financial promotion and is not investment advice.

Telephone calls and written communications may be recorded and monitored. For further information please see the Privacy Policy at www.rlam.com.

Issued in July 2025 by Royal London Asset Management Limited, 80 Fenchurch Street, London EC3M 4BY. Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority, firm reference number 141665. A subsidiary of The Royal London Mutual Insurance Society Limited.

The Royal London Mutual Insurance Society Limited is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and the Prudential Regulation Authority. Registered in England and Wales number 99064. Registered Office: 80 Fenchurch Street London, EC3M 4BY. The Royal London Mutual Insurance Society Limited is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority in the UK.

Royal London Asset Management Limited is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. Registered in England and Wales number 2244297

iBoxx indexes and data are an intellectual property of Markit North America, Inc., Markit Indices GmbH, Markit Equities Limited and/or its affiliates iBoxx and has been licensed for use in connection with the fund (or other investment vehicle) or securities referenced herein.

The Index provided by IHS Markit is subject to disclaimer currently available here (and as updated by IHS Markit from time to time): https://ihsmarkit.com/Legal/disclaimers.html and/or in the prospectus for the Fund.

Risks and Warnings

General risks

The degree of investment risk depends on the fund you choose.

The prices of units can go down as well as up.

The return from your investment is not guaranteed; therefore, you may get back less or more than shown in the illustrations.

You may not get back the amount that you originally invested.

Past performance is not a guide to future return.

Inflation may, over time, reduce the value of your investments in real terms.

There may be a variation in performance between funds with similar objectives owing to the different assets selected.

Funds aiming for relatively high performance can incur greater risk than those adopting a more standard investment approach.

The use of derivatives in pursuit of a fund's objective may cause its risk profile to change and this may be material.

Fixed interest security risk

Fixed interest securities are particularly affected by trends in interest rates and inflation. If interest rates go up, the value of capital may fall, and vice versa. Inflation will also decrease the real value of capital. Unlike the income from a single fixed interest security, the level of income (yield) from a fund is not fixed and may go up and down. Bond yields (and as a consequence bond prices) are determined by market perception as to the appropriate level of yields given the economic background.Key determinants include economic growth prospects, inflation, the government's fiscal position, short-term interest rates and international market comparisons. The returns from bonds are fixed as at the time of purchase. Therefore the fixed coupon payable and the final redemption proceeds are known at the outset. This means that if a bond is held until its redemption date, the total return that could be expected is unaltered from its purchase date, subject to counterparty default (see 'Credit risk' below). However, over the life of a bond, the yield priced by the market (as opposed to actual fixed coupons payable) at any given time will depend on the market environment at that time. Therefore, a bond sold before its redemption date is likely to have a different price to its purchase price and a profit or loss may be incurred.

Credit risk

The value of a fixed interest security will fall in the event of the default or reduced credit rating of the issuer. Generally, the higher the rate of interest, the higher the perceived credit risk of the issuer. This fund may invest a percentage of it's assets in sub-investment grade bonds. Such bonds have characteristics which may result in higher probability of default than investment grade bonds and therefore higher risk.

Overseas markets risk

Funds investing in overseas securities are exposed to, and can hold, currencies other than Sterling. As a result, overseas investments may be affected by the rise and fall in exchange rates.

Derivatives risk for efficient portfolio management

Derivatives may be used by this Fund for the purpose of efficient portfolio management. This restricts the use of derivatives to the reduction of risk and the reduction of cost. Such transactions must be economically appropriate and the exposure fully covered.

Derivatives risk for investment purposes

This fund may undertake transactions in derivatives and forward transactions (both on exchange and over the counter (OTC)). These may include interest rate swaps and interest rate futures for the purposes of meeting the investment objective, protecting the risk to capital, duration and credit management, as well as for hedging. While the discerning use of derivatives can be beneficial, derivatives also involve specific risks. These risks relate specifically to market risk, management risk, credit risk, liquidity risk, the risk of mispricing or improper valuation of derivatives and the risk that derivatives may not correlate perfectly with underlying assets, interest rates and indices. The use of derivative instruments may from time to time alter the economic exposure of the fund causing it to deviate significantly from the performance of the market as a whole. The use of these derivatives will be within the parameters allowed for linked funds by the Financial Conduct Authority and Prudential Regulation Authority.

Performance to 30 June 2025

Cumulative (%)

	3 Month	6 Month	1 Year	3 Years	5 Years
Fund (gross)	2.60	3.85	6.15	9.65	(1.75)
Fund (net)	2.54	3.74	5.92	8.92	(2.83)

Annualised (%)

3 Years (p.a.)	5 Years (p.a.)
3.11	(0.35)
2.89	(0.57)

Year on year performance (%)

	30/06/2024 - 30/06/2025	30/06/2023 - 30/06/2024	30/06/2022 - 30/06/2023	30/06/2021 - 30/06/2022	30/06/2020 - 30/06/2021
Fund (gross)	6.15	11.43	(7.30)	(13.24)	3.27
Fund (net)	5.92	11.18	(7.51)	(13.43)	3.05

Past performance is not a guide to future performance. The impact of fees or other charges including tax, where applicable, can be material on the performance of your investment.

Source: RLAM as at 30 June 2025. All figures are mid-price to mid-price for the RLPPC Enhanced Buy and Maintain Credit Fund I Inc GBP share class.

Glossary

Asset allocation

Breakdown of the assets by asset classes. Based on RLAM asset classification scheme.

Credit ratings

Credit ratings are based on RLAM composite ratings which uses a hierarchy of S&P, Moody's and then the Fitch rating.

Credit spread

Credit spread is the difference in yield between two debt securities of the same maturity but different credit quality.

Duration

Measure of sensitivity of a Fixed Income instrument to changes in interest rates, indicating the potential impact of interest rate fluctuations on the value of the investment.

Fund analytics

All figures exclude cash. Credit bonds include non-sterling bonds and CDs where held within the fund or benchmark.
This is applicable to the following sections: fund Asset Allocation, Duration, Yield curve, Sector breakdown, Financial holdings, Credit ratings.

Fund value

Total value of the fund as of the last business day of the calendar month. The fund value is as at close of business and on a mid-price basis.

Gross redemption yield

Gross redemption yield is the rate of discount at which a bond's future obligations of interest and capital payments equates to its current price. The gross redemption yield shown for the fund is the average for its individual holdings, weighted by their current value, gross of relevant fund management costs and gross of tax.

Number of holdings

Total number of unique holdings of the Fund excluding cash, currency and derivatives.

Number of issuers

Number of unique issuers of all assets held by the Fund, excluding cash, currency and derivatives.

Performance

Performance is calculated using the daily end of day NAV per share produced by HSBC based on the mid price.

Rating changes - downgrades

Directly held credit bonds downgraded from investment grade to sub-investment grade based on the RLAM composite rating during the quarter.

Rating changes - upgrades

Directly held credit bonds upgraded from sub-investment grade to investment grade based on the RLAM composite rating during the quarter.

Sector breakdown

Breakdown of the fixed income assets, excluding derivatives and cash by RLAM's internal industry sector classification scheme. Figures are subject to rounding.