



# Royal London Corporate Bond Fund

---

Quarterly Report 31 March 2022



## Asset split

	Fund (%)	Benchmark <sup>1</sup> (%)
Conventional credit bonds <sup>2</sup>	99.1	99.3
Index linked credit bonds	0.6	0.0
Sterling conventional gilts	0.0	0.0
Sterling index linked gilts	0.0	0.0
Foreign conventional sovereign	0.2	0.7
Foreign index linked sovereign	0.0	0.0
Derivatives	0.0	0.0
Other	0.0	0.0

Reported yields reflect RLAM's current perception of market conventions around timing of bond cash flows. Heightened uncertainty due to the COVID 19 crisis may impact these timings for bonds with callable feature.

## Fund data

	Fund	Benchmark <sup>1</sup>
Duration <sup>3</sup>	6.9 years	7.3 years
Gross redemption yield <sup>4</sup>	3.72%	2.72%
No. of stocks	316	1,183
Fund size	£1,220.3m	-

Source: RLAM, based on the Z share class. Launch date: 01.03.1999.

<sup>1</sup>Benchmark: iBoxx Sterling Non-Gilt All Maturities Index.

<sup>2</sup>Conventional credit bond allocation includes exposure to non-sterling credit bonds and CDs, where applicable.

<sup>3</sup>Excluding cash

<sup>4</sup>The gross redemption yield is calculated on a weighted average basis

## Performance

	Fund (%)	Benchmark <sup>1</sup> (%)	Relative (%)
<b>Q1 2022</b>	<b>-5.31</b>	<b>-6.19</b>	<b>0.88</b>
Year-to-date	-5.31	-6.19	0.88
Rolling 12 months	-1.80	-5.20	3.39
3 years p.a.	3.58	0.96	2.62
5 years p.a.	3.65	1.55	2.10
10 years p.a.	6.41	4.31	2.10
Since inception p.a. 01.03.1999	5.94	4.55	1.39

**Past performance is not necessarily a reliable indicator of future performance. The value of investments and the income from them is not guaranteed and may go down as well as up and investors may not get back the amount originally invested.**

All performance figures stated gross of fees and tax unless otherwise stated.


Source: RLAM, based on the Z share class.

<sup>1</sup>Benchmark: iBoxx Sterling Non-Gilt All Maturities Index.

On 1 May 2012, the Royal London Corporate Bond Fund (Class B) was renamed the Royal London Corporate Bond Fund (Class Z). The Z share class was launched on 30 April 2010. All performance after this date is for the Z share class. All performance for periods prior to 30 April 2010 is for the Royal London Corporate Bond Fund (Class A). Therefore the performance shown in this table is a merged return which includes the historical 'A' share return for the periods to 30 April 2010, before the Z share existed. If you were invested in the fund prior to this, your investment was in the A shares. If you require separate performance solely for the Z shares since 30 April 2010, please contact your Client Services Manager.


Performance for the Royal London Corporate Bond Fund is based on the fund's pricing point at noon, while index performance is based on close of business prices, thus preventing a direct comparison of performance. The significance of this timing discrepancy is likely to be less over longer measurement periods. As of 6 April 2017, the UK Government announced that funds paying interest distributions will be required to pay those distributions gross of tax.

## Sector breakdown




Source: RLAM. Figures in relation to your portfolio exclude the impact of cash held, although they do include the impact of CDs if held within your portfolio

## Maturity profile



## Credit breakdown



## Ten Largest Holdings

	Weighting (%)
Aviva 6.875% 2058	1.9
HSBC Bank plc 4.75% 2046	1.6
M&G Plc 5.7% 2063	1.2
HSBC Bank plc 5.375% 2033	1.2
Thames Water Utilities Cayman Finance 7.738% 2058	1.1
HSBC Bank plc 5.375% 2030	1.1
Scottish Widows Plc 7% 2043	1.1
Investec Plc 1.875% 2028	1.0
Électricité de France 5.875% VRN Perpetual	1.0
AXA SA 6.6862% VRN Perpetual	1.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>12.2</b>

Source: RLAM. Figures in the table above exclude derivatives where held, subject to rounding.



## Market overview

---

- Russia's invasion of Ukraine in late February was met by a unified response from Western powers, enforcing far reaching economic sanctions. Although a sense of calm has returned to markets, the unpredictability of war means that this may not continue.
- After the relatively benign impact of the Omicron variant of Covid-19, central banks globally maintained the hawkish pivot taken into the turn of the year, as they focused on tackling higher than expected inflation rates. Government bonds have been badly impacted by the subsequent rise in interest rate expectations, and the increase to sterling investment grade yields in the period was driven mainly by underlying gilts yields, although spreads have also widened.
- War in Ukraine has exacerbated inflationary pressures. Rising commodity prices are driving up the cost of energy, food, and materials, leading to a further squeeze on real disposable incomes. This is being compounded by higher interest rates, giving rise to concern about future economic growth. Bank of England (BoE) Governor Bailey cited such concerns during the March Monetary Policy Committee (MPC) meeting but increased the interest rate nonetheless; the UK base rate stood at 0.75% at the end of the reporting period, after consecutive 25bps hikes in February and March.
- The UK's Spring Budget Statement saw some measures to limit the impact of forthcoming tax rises, mitigating around one third of impact of rising costs on consumers. Markets are still pricing in seven rate hikes in the UK over the next twelve months, taking the base rate towards 2.5%. In the US, where the economy is better insulated from rising commodity prices (it is a net energy exporter) the Federal Reserve (the Fed) doubled down on its hawkish stance on inflation, highlighting the potential for a diversion in monetary policy between central banks going forward. The Fed ended its quantitative easing (QE) programme at the end of March, as planned, and markets are now pricing eight rate hikes in 2022, which would see the target rate reach around 2.5% by year end.
- In the UK, the benchmark 10-year gilt yield rose from 0.97% to 1.61% in the quarter, a rise of 64bps. There was a respite in the upwards trend following the Russian invasion of Ukraine but concern about inflation and more hawkish central bank rhetoric meant that the fall in yields proved to be temporary. The same pattern was visible in the US and Germany, where yields rose throughout the period but temporarily dipped around the onset of war in Ukraine: in the US, the benchmark 10-year US treasury yield rose from 1.51% to 2.34% in the quarter, while the German 10-year bund yield rose from -0.14% to 0.55%.
- The first quarter of 2022 was the worst performing quarter for sterling credit markets (-6.2%) since the Global Financial Crisis. Although broad sterling credit indices outperformed government bonds this reflected their lower duration, as investment grade spreads widened by 22bps (iBoxx Sterling Non-Gilt index). Defensive sectors such as supranational, covered and asset backed outperformed, whilst financials (banks and insurance) were laggards, particularly subordinated bonds when looking at excess returns (adjusted for the impact of duration). Some energy bonds were weak despite the rise in oil and gas prices, reflecting exposures to Russia. By credit rating, AAA rated debt outperformed other investment grade ratings bands; BBB rated debt outperformed A rated debt; and sub-investment grade debt outperformed investment grade markets, albeit still delivering negative absolute returns.

## Portfolio commentary

---

- Sterling credit markets provided negative absolute returns in what was the worse quarter since the Global Financial Crisis. Markets were driven by the significant rise in yields of the underlying gilt market, with nominal gilt yields rising by around 60-70bps across all maturities in the first quarter. Royal London's sterling credit strategies provided negative returns to investors, but outperformed their respective market benchmark.
- Short-dated credit strategies outperformed all-maturities strategies during the quarter, albeit still providing negative absolute returns. This is because long-dated bonds are more sensitive to changes in the interest rate and suffer greater capital losses when interest rates rise. This is reflected in the performance of the UK gilt market, where gilts of less than five years in maturity returned -1.4% to investors in the quarter, versus -7.2% for all maturities gilts (FTSE Actuaries).
- The main contributor to performance across the fund was security selection. Specifically, the fund benefitted significantly as a result of having no direct exposure to Russian companies **Gazprom** and **Russian Railways** which both fell out of the benchmark in the period following the onset of war and subsequent Western sanctions – the credits represented a small yet material portion of the benchmark. Our indirect exposure to Russian companies was also very limited, as companies in the highest risk sector, financials, had already curtailed exposure to Russia due to requirements around money laundering.
- At a sector level, the biggest drag on performance was the large underweight in supranationals, which outperformed the broader market. This strength pushed the performance of the sector above that of the broader market on a rolling 12-month basis, although the sector has



underperformed over the medium term, to the benefit of our portfolios. Conversely, our allocation to asset backed and secured bonds helped relative performance.

- Security selection was positive, including contributions from ‘off benchmark’ positions (such as inflation-linked bonds, floating rate bonds, sub-investment grade bonds, and un-rated bonds). This reinforces our long-standing investment philosophy that good value bonds can be found outside benchmark constituents. Specifically, we saw positive contributions from **General Electric** bonds and some legacy subordinated bank debt, which bucked the general financial trend (e.g., **Santander**, **Lloyds Bank**). In the general industrials sector, the biomass and low carbon heat specialist **Aggregated Micropower** had a particularly strong quarter, while real estate companies **Peel Land** and **Eskiumr** were also notable performers, maintaining their value while the broader real estate sector delivered below market returns. The structured student loans bond **ICSL** was also a standout performer, demonstrating the value of secured lending in uncertain markets.
- In the first two weeks of the quarter sterling credit issuance was extremely strong, helping the market to meet forecast expectations for January even though the latter half of the month proved to be extremely quiet. February was similarly quiet, with the £3.1 billion of issuance in primary sterling markets nearly 50% below estimates. Issuance picked up again in March, as £6.6bn of new debt was brought to market, only a touch below forecasts. Issuance was, however, skewed heavily in favour of financials, particularly non-domestic banks.
- We participated in a number of new issues across sectors in the first quarter of the year. In utilities we bought an issue from **Bazalgette**, a green bond where the proceeds are used to build London's 'super sewer,' delivering extensive environmental benefits. Also in the utility sector, we bought new issues from **Severn Trent** and **Northern Powergrid**, the latter continuing our preference for regulated electricity providers. In social housing, we bought another use of proceeds bond from **Peabody Group**, a housing association based in London – proceeds will finance the retrofitting of existing homes to improve energy performance certificate (EPC) ratings and energy efficiency, and to boost the number of electric vehicle charging points throughout its developments. We also bought a sterling issue from US real estate investment trust **Realty Income**. Finally, we were particularly active in the senior banks sector in the quarter, picking up a number of new issues at good value. We added new issues from **Santander**, **Lloyds Bank**, **BNP Paribas**, **NatWest**, **Toronto Dominion**, and Norwegian bank **DNB**.
- While the quarter saw further issuance of labelled bonds, such as ‘green’ bonds, some of which we participated in, we remain cautious of labelled bonds, which do not automatically offer value, and sometimes lack clarity of objective. We will continue to focus on integrating ESG risk, making sure that we assess each individual credit on its particular merits, remaining focussed on adding value in underserved or inefficient areas of the market.

## Outlook

---

- There is considerable uncertainty about the year ahead. The war in Ukraine has worsened existing trends and has given central banks a real dilemma: tighten policy to address inflation or give some slack on further policy moves until the growth consequences become more evident. The US yield curve (between 2- and 10-year maturity bonds) inverted during March, which many view as recession signal.
- In the UK, investors are pricing in a move to a 2.5% base rate over twelve months and in the eurozone there has been a significant shift, with tightening now expected in the second half of the year. Although we expect a slowdown in the medium term, we believe that market pricing may be too aggressive at present. However, we must highlight the uncertainty of any market forecasts at present, given the unpredictability of war in Ukraine.
- The widening in credit spreads has taken valuations to attractive levels, relative to government bonds. However, if tensions in Ukraine intensify, we would expect further modest widening in investment grade and high yield credit spreads. Nevertheless, at current levels, investment grade spreads over-compensate for default risk, and we expect that credit will outperform government debt over the medium term. Our portfolios have a material exposure to BBB bonds but we believe that compensation for default risk remains most attractive for the bonds that we hold in this rating band.
- The Bank of England announced in the quarter that it will sell its holdings of corporate bonds. While the BoE’s buy programme had a significant (if only temporary) impact on sterling credit markets, we do not expect the same for the sale. Although the holding is material in size, it is not a structurally significant portion of the market, and with the proposed sales timescale of more than three years, it is unlikely that markets will see enough concentrated activity to generate large swings in pricing.
- We will maintain our long-standing focus on risk management by seeking to identify companies with strong balance sheets; favouring issues with security and downside protection, and ensuring that portfolios are diversified across issuers, sectors, and other factors. In addition, we expect to maintain an overweight position in subordinated financial bonds where credit spreads remain attractive.



### Find out more

---

- RLAM recognises that the Ukraine invasion is a human tragedy, and one that we hope is resolved swiftly. As stewards of our investors' assets, we are monitoring the situation closely, and of course are complying with all restrictions and sanctions issued by relevant authorities. RLAM has no exposure to Russian companies in our active funds. We had limited exposure (less than 0.1% of total assets) in our tilt and Emerging Market Tracker funds at the time of the invasion, but subsequently sold every holding we were permitted to. In our Emerging Market Tracker fund, we removed this in line with the MSCI index changes in early March.
- Fund managers and other in-house specialists regularly address the issues that they consider in managing their funds via blogs, articles, webinars and other mediums. Please visit the [RLAM Digital Insight Hub](#), or the *Our Views* section of [www.rlam.co.uk](http://www.rlam.co.uk) for further information.



## IMPORTANT INFORMATION

For professional clients only, not suitable for retail investors. The views expressed are the author's own and do not constitute investment advice. This document is a financial promotion. It does not provide, and should not be relied on for, accounting, legal or tax advice, or investment recommendations. For more information on the fund or the risks of investing, please refer to the fund factsheet, Prospectus or Key Investor Information Document (KIID), available on [www.rlam.co.uk](http://www.rlam.co.uk).

Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future results. The value of investments and any income from them may go down as well as up and is not guaranteed. Investors may not get back the amount invested.

Portfolio characteristics and holdings are subject to change without notice. This does not constitute an investment recommendation. For information purposes only, methodology available on request. Unless otherwise noted, the information in this document has been derived from sources believed to be accurate. Information derived from sources other than Royal London Asset Management is believed to be reliable; however, we do not independently verify or guarantee its accuracy or validity.

All rights in the FTSE All Stocks Gilt Index, FTSE Over 15 Year Gilts Index, FTSE A Index Linked Over 5 Years Gilt Index and FTSE A Maturities Gilt Index (the "Index") vest in FTSE International Limited ("FTSE"). All rights in the FTSE 350, FTSE All Share, FTSE 100, FTSE 250, FTSE 350 Higher Yield and FTSE Small Cap (the "Index") vest in FTSE International Limited ("FTSE"). "FTSE" is a trade mark of the London Stock Exchange Group companies and is used by FTSE under licence. The Royal London Funds (the "funds") have been developed solely by Royal London Asset Management. The Index is calculated by FTSE or its agent. FTSE and its licensors are not connected to and do not sponsor, advise, recommend, endorse or promote the fund and do not accept any liability whatsoever to any person arising out of (a) the use of, reliance on or any error in the Index or (b) investment in or operation of the fund. FTSE makes no claim, prediction, warranty or representation either as to the results to be obtained from the Funds or the suitability of the Index for the purpose to which it is being put by Royal London Asset Management.

All confidential information relating to any Royal London Group company must be treated by you in the strictest confidence. It may only be used for the purposes of assessing the proposal to engage Royal London Asset Management Limited (RLAM). Confidential information should not be disclosed to any third party and should only be disclosed to those of your employees and professional advisers who are required to see such information for the purpose set out above. You should ensure that these persons are made aware of the confidential nature of such information and treat it accordingly. You agree to return and/ or destroy all confidential information on receipt of our written request to do so.

Telephone calls may be recorded. For further information please see the Legals notice at [www.rlam.co.uk](http://www.rlam.co.uk).

Issued by Royal London Asset Management Limited, Firm Registration Number: 141665, registered in England and Wales number 2244297; Royal London Unit Trust Managers Limited, Firm Registration Number: 144037, registered in England and Wales number 2372439; RLUM Limited, Firm Registration Number: 144032, registered in England and Wales number 2369965. All of these companies are authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. Royal London Asset Management Bond Funds Plc, an umbrella company with segregated liability between sub-funds, authorised and regulated by the Central Bank of Ireland, registered in Ireland number 364259. Registered office: 70 Sir John Rogerson's Quay, Dublin 2, Ireland.

All of these companies are subsidiaries of The Royal London Mutual Insurance Society Limited, registered in England and Wales number 99064. Registered Office: 55 Gracechurch Street, London, EC3V 0RL. The Royal London Mutual Insurance Society Limited is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and the Prudential Regulation Authority. The Royal London Mutual Insurance Society Limited is on the Financial Services Register, registration number 117672. Registered in England and Wales number 99064. FQR RLAM EM 1247.